	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/774,247	NOETZEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Maureen M. Wallenhorst</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Brain Smiler (Appl. Rep.)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 September 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 23, 24		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A <sub>j</sub>	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend claims 1, 23 and 24 in order to provide further clarification of a single blood sample being applied to the device, and then being split between the dilution and sample channels. These changes place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.